

**Definition of a curve.**

- Definition. A parametrized differentiable curve in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a differentiable map  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $I = (a, b)$  is an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note:  $I$  can be a closed interval — now we have a curve with boundary points.
- Notation. Such a map has component functions  $\gamma(t) := (\gamma_1(t), \dots, \gamma_n(t))$ . Each  $\gamma_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a differentiable function.
- The domain  $I$  is the space where the parameter  $t$  lives.
- The image of  $\gamma$  is the set of points  $\{\gamma(t) : t \in I\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . It is a geometric thing called the *trace* of the curve. We interpret  $\gamma(t)$  as the location of a particle in space at the instant of time  $t$ ; and we interpret the trace of the curve as the path traced out by the particle as  $t$  varies in  $I$ .
- Distinction between this kind of curve and a 1-D manifold.

**Velocity and Acceleration.**

- Instantaneous velocity of the particle at time  $t$  is  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = (\dot{\gamma}_1(t), \dots, \dot{\gamma}_n(t))$ .
- Instantaneous acceleration of the particle at time  $t$  is  $\ddot{\gamma}(t) = (\ddot{\gamma}_1(t), \dots, \ddot{\gamma}_n(t))$ .
- Constant speed curves; acceleration is normal to the velocity. Constant velocity curves are straight lines.
- Singular points where  $\dot{\gamma} = 0$ . The parametrized map can still be differentiable but the trace may not be smooth. For example:

$$\gamma(t) := \begin{cases} (e^{-1/t^2}, 0) & t > 0 \\ 0 & t = 0 \\ (0, e^{-1/t^2}) & t < 0 \end{cases}$$

**Examples.**

- Lines in space:  $\gamma(t) = x_0 + tv$  is the line passing through  $x_0$  in direction  $v$ .
- Circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , helix in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- Curve in which the trace intersects itself
- Curve with a kink, curve with a cusp — smooth (with singular point) and non-smooth parametrizations thereof (e.g.  $\gamma(t) = (t^3, t^2)$  or  $\bar{\gamma}(t) = (t, t^{2/3})$ ).
- An exotic example. E.g. Cycloid — the motion of a point on the rim of a wheel of radius  $R$  as the wheel rolls without slipping along the  $x$ -axis. (This is derived as follows. Let  $\theta$  be the angle through which the wheel has rolled. Then the distance the point of contact with the ground has moved is equal to  $R\theta$ . Hence the position of the centre of the wheel has moved to  $(R\theta, R)$ . And the point on the edge of the wheel, originally touching the ground at  $\theta = 0$  has rotated through a clockwise angle of  $\theta$  measured relative to the centre of the wheel. In other words, this point is located at

$$\gamma(\theta) := (R\theta, R) + (R \cos(-\pi/2 - \theta), R \sin(-\pi/2 - \theta)) = (R\theta, R) - (R \sin(\theta), R \cos(\theta)).$$

### Change of parameter.

- Definition of reparametrization: a bijective map  $\phi : J \rightarrow I$  gives you a new curve  $\tilde{\gamma} : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  defined by  $\tilde{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(\phi(s))$ . The formula  $t = \phi(s)$  is a *change of parameter*.
- Note that a smooth mapping  $\phi$  between intervals is a bijection if and only if  $\phi'$  never vanishes.
- The trace remains unchanged.
- Effect on velocity and acceleration:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{\gamma}(s)}{ds} &= \frac{d\gamma(\phi(s))}{ds} = \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \circ \phi(s) \frac{d\phi(s)}{ds} && \text{Note length changes} \\ \frac{d^2\tilde{\gamma}(s)}{ds^2} &= \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \circ \phi(s) \frac{d\phi(s)}{ds} \right) \\ &= \frac{d^2\gamma}{dt^2} \circ \phi(s) \left( \frac{d\phi(s)}{ds} \right)^2 + \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \circ \phi(s) \frac{d^2\phi(s)}{ds^2} \end{aligned}$$

### Arc length.

- Discrete approximation of the length of a differentiable curve by means of line segments; limit as segment length  $\rightarrow 0$  yields the arc length integral.
- Derivation: let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a smooth curve and partition  $I = [t_0, t_1] \cup \dots \cup [t_{n-1}, t_n]$  with  $t_0 = a$  and  $t_n = b$ . Suppose  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))$ . Now compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\gamma([a, b])) &\approx \sum_{i=1}^n \|\gamma(t_i) - \gamma(t_{i-1})\| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( (x(t_i) - x(t_{i-1}))^2 + (y(t_i) - y(t_{i-1}))^2 + (z(t_i) - z(t_{i-1}))^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( (\dot{x}(t_i^*)\Delta t_i)^2 + (\dot{y}(t_i^*)\Delta t_i)^2 + (\dot{z}(t_i^*)\Delta t_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} && \text{Mean value theorem; } t_i^* \in [t_{i-1}, t_i] \\ &\quad \text{and } \Delta t_i := t_i - t_{i-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( (\dot{x}(t_i^*))^2 + (\dot{y}(t_i^*))^2 + (\dot{z}(t_i^*))^2 \right)^{1/2} \Delta t_i \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \left( (\dot{x}(t))^2 + (\dot{y}(t))^2 + (\dot{z}(t))^2 \right)^{1/2} dt \\ &= \int_a^b \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| dt \end{aligned}$$

- Parameter independence. Let  $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$  be a diffeomorphism with  $\phi(a) = a$  and  $\phi(b) = b$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}(s) := \gamma(\phi(s))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\tilde{\gamma}([a, b])) &= \int_a^b \left\| \frac{d\gamma \circ \phi(s)}{ds} \right\| ds \\ &= \int_a^b |\phi'(s)| \left\| \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \circ \phi(s) \right\| ds && \text{Let } t = \phi(s) \text{ so } dt = \phi'(s)ds \text{ and thus} \\ &\quad ds = (\phi'(s))^{-1}dt = (\phi' \circ \phi^{-1}(t))^{-1}dt \\ &= \int_a^b |\phi' \circ \phi^{-1}(t)| \left\| \frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt} \right\| \frac{dt}{|\phi' \circ \phi^{-1}(t)|} \\ &= \int_a^b \left\| \frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt} \right\| dt \\ &= \text{length}(\gamma([a, b])) \end{aligned}$$

- Example calculations — mostly no closed form for arc lengths.

- First example:  $\gamma(t) = (e^t \cos(t), e^t \sin(t))$ . Then  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = e^t(\cos(t), \sin(t)) + e^t(-\sin(t), \cos(t))$  and  $\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| = e^t\|(\cos(t), \sin(t)) + (-\sin(t), \cos(t))\| = \sqrt{2}e^t$ . Thus

$$\text{length}(\gamma([0, T])) = \int_0^T \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| dt = \sqrt{2} \int_0^T e^t dt = \sqrt{2}(e^T - 1)$$

- Second example:  $\gamma(t)$  such that  $\|\dot{\gamma}\| = \text{const}$ . Then

$$\text{length}(\gamma([T_0, T])) = \int_{T_0}^T \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| dt = C(T - T_0)$$

Thus  $L = C(T - T_0)$  and  $T$  is *almost* the arc-length parameter itself. If  $C = 1$  we say that  $\gamma$  is *parametrized by arc-length*.

- The arc length re-parametrization — proof that it has constant velocity. Let  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth curve and define the function  $\ell : I \rightarrow [0, \text{length}(\gamma(I))]$  by  $\ell(t) := \int_0^t \|\dot{\gamma}(x)\| dx$ .

- Note that  $\frac{d\ell(t)}{dt} = \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|$  so that if  $\gamma$  has no points where  $\dot{\gamma} = 0$  then  $\ell$  is invertible.
- Define a new parameter  $s$  that satisfies  $s = \ell(t)$ . So now we have  $t = \ell^{-1}(s)$  and we can define a *re-parametrized version* of  $\gamma$ , namely  $\tilde{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(\ell^{-1}(s))$ .
- Note that  $\|\frac{d}{ds}\tilde{\gamma}(s)\| = 1$  because

$$\frac{d\tilde{\gamma}(s)}{ds} = \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \circ \ell^{-1}(s) \frac{d\ell^{-1}(s)}{ds} = \frac{\dot{\gamma} \circ \ell^{-1}(s)}{\frac{d\ell}{dt} \circ \ell^{-1}(s)} = \frac{\dot{\gamma} \circ \ell^{-1}(s)}{\|\dot{\gamma} \circ \ell^{-1}(s)\|}$$

- Thus  $\|\frac{d\tilde{\gamma}(s)}{ds}\| = 1$  and the re-parametrized version is parametrized by arc length.
- The arc-length parametrization is very useful theoretically (as we'll see) but difficult to work with in practice because the arc-length can be hard to compute (i.e. finding the function  $\ell$ ) and it's inverse can then be very hard to find (i.e. inverting to find  $\ell^{-1}$ ).
- Example: we have  $s = \sqrt{2}e^t$  for the logarithmic spiral so  $t = \log(s/\sqrt{2})$ . Hence the re-parametrized version of the logarithmic spiral is

$$\tilde{\gamma}(s) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}} (\cos(\log(s/\sqrt{2})), \sin(\log(s/\sqrt{2}))) .$$

## Curvature.

- Definition of the geodesic curvature vector in an arbitrary parametrization — the normal component of the acceleration vector, normalized by the squared length of the tangent vector.

$$\vec{k}_c := \frac{1}{\|\dot{c}\|^2} \left( \ddot{c} - \frac{\langle \ddot{c}, \dot{c} \rangle}{\|\dot{c}\|^2} \dot{c} \right) = \frac{1}{\|\dot{c}\|} \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\dot{c}}{\|\dot{c}\|} \right) \right]^\perp$$

Rate of change of the unit tangent vector perpendicular to the curve

- Definition of the geodesic curvature  $k_c := \|\vec{k}_c\|$ .
- In the arc length parametrization we have  $\vec{k}_c = [\ddot{c}]^\perp$ .
- Examples: zero-acceleration curve — straight line; constant-acceleration plane curve — circle.

## Frenet frame.

- Let  $\gamma : \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a curve, without loss of generality parametrized by arc-length. We will now find a *canonical framing* of  $\gamma$ , namely a choice of “moving axes” (three linearly independent vectors attached to each point  $\gamma(s)$ ) that is best adapted to its geometry.
- Let  $T(s) := \dot{\gamma}(s)$ . Then  $\|T(s)\| = 1$  for all  $s$  since  $\gamma$  is parametrized by arc-length.
- A point of non-zero curvature allows us to define a distinguished normal vector. Recall that we have  $0 = \frac{d}{ds} \|\dot{\gamma}(s)\|^2 = 2\langle T(s), \dot{T}(s) \rangle = 2\langle T(s), \vec{k}_\gamma(s) \rangle$ . Thus the curvature vector is normal to  $\gamma$ . Since it's not equal to zero, we can divide by its magnitude and obtain a unit normal vector field  $N(s) := \dot{T}(s) / \|\dot{T}(s)\|$  along  $\gamma$ . This is our second vector in the moving axis.
- We define the *osculating plane* at  $\gamma(s)$  to the plane spanned by  $T(s)$  and  $N(s)$ .
- We now define the *binormal vector*, the third vector in our moving axes, to be  $B(s) := T(s) \times N(s)$ . This is also a unit vector and is orthogonal to both  $T(s)$  and  $N(s)$ .
- The *Frenet frame* for  $\gamma$  is the set of moving axes  $\{T(s), N(s), B(s)\}$  and is defined at each point  $\gamma(s)$  where  $k_\gamma(s) \neq 0$ .
- The Frenet formulas explain the variation in the Frenet frame along  $\gamma$ . That is, we have

$$\dot{T}(s) = k_\gamma(s)N(s)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{N}(s) &= \langle \dot{N}(s), T(s) \rangle T(s) + \langle \dot{N}(s), N(s) \rangle N(s) + \langle \dot{N}(s), B(s) \rangle B(s) \\ &= -k_\gamma(s)T(s) + \langle \dot{N}(s), B(s) \rangle B(s) \\ &= -k_\gamma(s)T(s) - \tau_\gamma(s)B(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{B}(s) &= \langle \dot{B}(s), T(s) \rangle T(s) + \langle \dot{B}(s), N(s) \rangle N(s) + \langle \dot{B}(s), B(s) \rangle B(s) \\ &= -\langle B(s), \dot{T}(s) \rangle T(s) + \langle \dot{B}(s), N(s) \rangle N(s) \\ &= -k_\gamma(s) \langle B(s), N(s) \rangle T(s) - \langle B(s), \dot{N}(s) \rangle N(s) \\ &= \tau_\gamma(s)N(s) \end{aligned}$$

- Here we have introduced the *torsion*  $\tau_\gamma(s) := -\langle \dot{N}(s), B(s) \rangle$ .
- Local Theorem: Let  $\gamma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a curve with non-zero curvature. Let  $k := k_\gamma(0)$  and  $\tau = \tau_\gamma(0)$  and  $k' = \dot{k}_\gamma(0)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(s) &\approx \gamma(0) + s\dot{\gamma}(0) + \frac{s^2}{2}\ddot{\gamma}(0) + \frac{s^3}{6}\dddot{\gamma}(0) \\ &= \left(s - \frac{k^2 s^3}{6}\right) T(0) + \left(\frac{s^2 k}{2} + \frac{s^3 k'}{6}\right) N(0) - \frac{k\tau s^3}{6} B(0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus locally,  $k$  and  $k'$  determine the amount of turning in the  $\{T(0), N(0)\}$ -plane, while  $\tau$  and  $k$  determine the amount of lifting out of the  $\{T(0), N(0)\}$ -plane in the  $B(0)$ -direction.

- Global Theorem: the Fundamental Theorem of Curves.

“Given differentiable functions  $k : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $k > 0$ , and  $\tau : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a regular curve  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $s$  is the arc-length,  $k(s)$  is the geodesic curvature, and  $\tau(s)$  is the torsion. Any other curve satisfying the same conditions differs from  $\gamma$  by a rigid motion.”

- A proof of the uniqueness part: differentiate  $\frac{1}{2} \|\gamma(s) - \tilde{\gamma}(s)\|^2$ . A proof of the existence part: involves solving a system of ODEs.

## Bishop frame.

- The Frenet frame has an “existential” problem... I. e. it is not defined when  $k_\gamma(s) = 0$ . But as a paper from the 1960s asserts: *There is more than one way to frame a curve.*
- Definition. The Bishop frame gives an alternative framing of a curve.
- Variational characterization of the Bishop frame. Bending and twisting energies.
- What’s the best example?